

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW		Docket Number (Optional) CM05922J
<p>I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)]</p> <p>on _____</p> <p>Signature_____</p> <p>Typed or printed name _____</p>		
<p>Application Number 10/814,315</p> <p>First Named Inventor Mark A. Boergrer</p> <p>Art Unit 2431</p>		<p>Filed 2004-03-31</p> <p>Examiner DOAN, Trang T.</p>

Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request.

This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.

The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s).

Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.

I am the

applicant/inventor.

assignee of record of the entire interest.
See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed.
(Form PTO/SB/96)

attorney or agent of record. **39505**
Registration number _____.

attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34.
Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34 _____.

/Barbara R. Doutre/

Signature

Barbara R. Doutre

Typed or printed name

954-723-6449

Telephone number

April 8, 2009

Date

NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required.
Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below*.

*Total of **1** forms are submitted.

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Privacy Act Statement

The **Privacy Act of 1974** (P.L. 93-579) requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

1. The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether disclosure of these records is required by the Freedom of Information Act.
2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
3. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspection or an issued patent.
9. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLN. NO.: 10/814,315

CONFIRMATION NO.: 5177

APPLICANT: Mark A. Boerger

TC/ART UNIT: 2431

FILED: March 31, 2004

EXAMINER: Trang T. Doan

TITLE: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR COMMUNICATING WITH A KEY
VARIABLE LOADER (KVL) USING A STANDARD UNIVERSAL
ASYNCHRONOUS RECEIVER TRANSMITTER (UART) PERIPHERAL**REMARKS FOR PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW**

MAILSTOP: AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This communication is responsive to the Final Office Action mailed January 06, 2009 and Advisory Action mailed March 10, 2009 concerning the above-identified application in furtherance of the Notice of Appeal filed April 8, 2009. Claims 1-13 remain pending in the application, a copy of which can be found in Applicant's amendment filed February 27, 2009.

REMARKS**Rejection of claims 1 - 13 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by PSWN****(“Introduction to Encryption Key Management for Public Safety Radio Systems”, 2001)**

Applicant respectfully submits that PSWN does not anticipate, either expressly or inherently, each and every element as set forth in independent claims 1, and 6. For example, independent claim 1, recites “driver application operates to communicate key command information to the KVL without the use of a timer peripheral and *enables the UART peripheral to utilize parity error information to validate communication with the KVL*” and independent claim 6 recites “the KVL driver operates without a timer peripheral *enabling the UART peripheral to utilize parity*

error information to validate communication with the KVL," which is not anticipated either expressly or inherently, in PSWN.

Applicant respectfully disagrees with the statement in item 10, page 6 of the Office Action dated January 06, 2009 that PSWN discloses "a timer peripheral enabling the UART peripheral to utilize parity error information to validate communication with the KVL (PSWN: See page 5, section 3.2 "Key Distribution", page 6, first paragraph and page 9, second and third paragraph) as well as the statement on page 2 of the Advisory Action which refers to page 6 and page 7, section 3.5 "Key Maintenance." Applicant asserts that nothing in the cited passages, nor anywhere in the reference, teaches a KVL operating *without the use of a timer peripheral*. Nothing in the cited passages, nor anywhere in the reference, teaches the use of *parity error information to validate communication with the KVL*.

PSWN is directed towards effective management of encryption keys, such that they are safeguarded throughout their life cycle and are protected from unauthorized disclosure and modification. Abstract. PSWN in section 3.2 discloses that "key distribution can be performed using three methods: manual method, automated method, and a combination of automated and manual methods ... The encryption key is inserted ("filled") into each radio with the key variable loader (KVL) which must be physically connected to each subscriber unit ... The encryption key is inserted ("filled") into each radio with the key variable loader (KVL) which must be physically connected to each subscriber unit." PSWN at best discloses a KMF sending keys to a remote KVL and to manually rekey the radio units. However, nowhere in the reference PSWN discloses validation of communication link with the KVL. Further, PSWN does not mention about utilizing parity error check information for validating the link. In contrast, Applicant's claim

describes a *KVL driver application for enabling the UART peripheral to utilize parity error information to validate communication with the KVL.*

Therefore, PSWN fails to disclose “driver application operates to communicate key command information to the KVL without the use of a timer peripheral and *enables the UART peripheral to utilize parity error information to validate communication with the KVL,*” as recited by independent claim 1 and “the KVL driver operates without a timer peripheral *enabling the UART peripheral to utilize parity error information to validate communication with the KVL,*” as recited by independent claim 6.

In addition, Applicant respectfully disagrees with the statement in item 10, page 6-7 of the Final Office Action and page 2 of the Advisory Action describing “PSWN discloses ... transmitting a second detection signal from the UART to a KVL application when the UART detects a receive data byte ... transmitting a third detection signal from the UART to the KVL application indicating all data has been received ... transmitting a fourth detection signal from the UART to a KVL link layer application for sending subsequent data until all data has been transmitted by the UART.” (Final Office Action referring to PSWN: Page 5, section 3.2 “Key Distribution”, page 6, first paragraph and page 9, second and third paragraph and Advisory Action citing pages 15-17; section 5.4 and page 19, section 6). The cited passages at best disclose “The central facility, called a key management facility (KMF) distributes keys by first encrypting the key and then transmitting it over the air to subscriber units in the system ... The KMF can fill a KVL with encryption keys using a direct cable connection or a telephone circuit and modems to a remote KVL.” Therefore, PSWN merely describes that a central KMF transmits encryption keys to a remote KVL. For arguments sake, if the Applicant concedes that PSWN discloses detection signal, PSWN fails to disclose specifically a first, a second, a third,

and a fourth detection signal. In contrast, Applicant's claim describes *transmission of a second, third, and fourth detection signals from the UART to a KVL*. In addition, PSWN also fails to disclose, when a particular detection signal is sent or what a particular detection signal indicates. Therefore, PSWN fails to disclose "transmitting *a second detection signal from the UART to a KVL* application when the UART detects a receive data byte; *transmitting a third detection signal from the UART to the KVL* application indicating all data has been received; and *transmitting a fourth detection signal from the UART to a KVL* link layer application for sending subsequent data until all data has been transmitted by the UART," as recited by the Applicant's independent claim 9.

In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully submits that PSWN does not disclose the claim limitations as set forth by the Applicant's independent claims 1, 6, and 9. Applicant therefore submits that claims 1, 6, and 9 are not anticipated by PSWN, and therefore the rejection of claims 1, 6, and 9 under 35 USC 102(b) should be withdrawn. Applicant requests that claims 1, 6, and 9 may now be passed to allowance.

Dependent claims 2-5 depend from, and include all the limitations of independent claim 1, dependent claims 7-8 depend from, and include all the limitations of independent claim 6, and dependent claims 10-13 depend from, and include all the limitations of independent claim 9. Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of dependent claims 2-5, 7-8, and 10-13 are in proper condition for allowance and requests that claims 2-5, 7-8, and 10-13 may now be passed to allowance.

Conclusion

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. Such action is earnestly solicited by the Applicant.

Please charge any fees that may be due to Deposit Account 502117, Motorola, Inc.

Respectfully submitted,

April 8, 2009

Motorola, Inc.
1303 E. Algonquin Road
IL01/3rd Floor
Schaumburg, IL 60196

Customer Number: 24273

By: /Barbara R. Doutre/
Barbara R. Doutre
Attorney of Record
Reg. No.: 39505
Tel: 954-723-6449
Fax: 847-576-3750
E-Mail: docketing.us@motorola.com